**In situ** observation of shear-driven amorphization in silicon crystals
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Amorphous materials are used for both structural and functional applications1–5. An amorphous solid usually forms under driven conditions such as melt quenching6, irradiation7, shock loading7–9 or severe mechanical deformation10. Such extreme conditions impose significant challenges on the direct observation of the amorphization process. Various experimental techniques have been used to detect how the amorphous phases form, including synchrotron X-ray diffraction11, transmission electron microscopy (TEM)12 and Raman spectroscopy13, but a dynamic, atomistic characterization has remained elusive. Here, by using in situ high-resolution TEM (HRTEM), we show the dynamic amorphization process in silicon nanocrystals during mechanical straining on the atomic scale. We find that shear-driven amorphization occurs in a dominant shear band starting with the diamond-cubic (dc) to diamond-hexagonal (dh) phase transition and then proceeds by dislocation nucleation and accumulation in the newly formed dh-Si phase. This process leads to the formation of an amorphous Si (α-Si) band, embedded with dh-Si nanodomains. The amorphization of dc-Si via an intermediate dh-Si phase is a previously unknown pathway of solid-state amorphization.

Covalent crystals such as bulk Si are intrinsically brittle at room temperature11. They can nonetheless exhibit a transition from the crystalline to amorphous phase during mechanical deformation under pressure confinement, for example, when subjected to large overall hydrostatic pressure11, nanoindentation15 and surface scratching12. Recent nanomechanical experiments have shown that reducing the size of covalent crystals to the nanoscale can effectively suppress the conventional deformation mode of brittle fracture16–22, due to the strong flaw tolerance of nanoscale materials relative to their bulk counterparts. Revealing such a size effect opens up opportunities to study solid-state amorphization without the need for pressure confinement. Moreover, atomistic simulations suggest that the strong localized bonding in covalent crystals could facilitate solid-state amorphization in nanoscale samples free of fracture-producing flaws23,24. However, experimental studies of covalent Si nanostructures to date only report crystalline phase transformations and/or plasticity governed by dislocations18–21,25. Our in situ HRTEM uniaxial compression experiments provide a route to directly observe shear-driven amorphization processes at the atomic scale.

Figure 1a–f and Supplementary Movie 1 show in situ compression of a (111)-oriented Si nanopillar with a diameter of ∼82 nm (Fig. 1a) at a strain rate of ∼10−4 s−1. The nanopillar initially underwent elastic deformation upon compression. Plastic deformation then set in by nucleation of dislocations from the contact between the nanopillar and the loading punch (Fig. 1b). The amount of sessile dislocations gradually increased with compression (Fig. 1c), indicating the trapping of dislocations inside the nanopillar. Based on the measured d-spacing of (111) planes at a local region near the contact, we estimated the axial stresses and associated resolved shear stresses during compression (Supplementary Fig. 1). When the applied compressive stress reached ∼4 GPa, the overall uniform deformation of the nanopillar gave way to localized deformation in a dominant shear band (Fig. 1d). Further development of the shear band was featured with the formation of an internal ‘strip’, as the compressive stress reached ∼18 GPa. As shown in the HRTEM image in Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. 2, the incipient strip exhibited lattice disturbances and was oriented between (113) and (114), that is, inclined at ∼33° to the adjacent (111) plane. During further compression of the nanopillar, the strip gradually thickened and extended (Fig. 1f), driven by an in-plane shear (Supplementary Fig. 2). Interestingly, a new crystalline phase started to form inside the strip, with a lattice structure different from that of the dc-Si matrix (Fig. 1f).

Our in situ HRTEM imaging revealed the atomic-scale details of deformation-induced dislocations and phase transformation. Dislocations that initially formed during the incipient plastic deformation (Fig. 1b,c) were of the perfect type on (111) planes (Fig. 1g), instead of partials that would otherwise drag long stacking faults. The atomic core structure of these dislocations cannot be determined exactly from HRTEM images. Hence, it remains unclear if they are shuffle or glide-set (111) dislocations. However, the dislocation core structure in HRTEM images is close to that obtained in our molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (see Supplementary section ‘MD procedures’), which produced full dislocations on the (111) shuffle planes (Fig. 1g). The characteristic shear stresses for the onset of dislocation nucleation and subsequent dislocation glide were estimated from the first major load drop (Supplementary Fig. 1) to be ∼2 and ∼1 GPa, respectively. These stresses are consistent with the previous theoretical predictions26 and with estimates from ex situ experiments on shuffle-set dislocation27. In addition, our MD simulations indicate that trapping of dislocations inside the nanopillar can be primarily attributed to the interlocking of dislocations on three equivalent (111) planes inclined at ∼19.5° to the (111) loading axis. Back stresses from these trapped dislocations presumably slowed down the rate of dislocation nucleation as well as the movement of nucleated dislocations from the contact interface. As a result, the nanopillar gradually hardened during continued compression, as evidenced by the measured stress–strain response (Supplementary Fig. 1). The HRTEM image and its fast Fourier transform analysis (Fig. 1h–j) show that the newly formed crystalline phase in the strip is dh-Si viewed from the (1120) axis; it is a 2H polytype of dc-Si with the stacking sequence ...AaBbAaBb... along the (111) direction and is also known as Si-IV or wurtzite Si (ref. 28). It was previously suggested that dc- to dh-Si...
Phase transformation could be accomplished by a secondary deformation twinning in a pre-existing twin\(^2\). In contrast, no pre-existing or deformation twins were observed during our experiments. Our observation of \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) nucleation and growth indicated that \(dc\) - \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) phase transformation occurred in the highly sheared \(dc\) 'strip' (Supplementary Figs 2 and 3 and Supplementary Discussion 1) and is consistent with the mechanism of martensitic transformation through the \([552][115]_\text{d}h\) shear proposed in ref. 30.

Shear-driven amorphization inside the \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) 'strip' occurred during further compression (Supplementary Movie 2), starting with the formation of \(a\)-\(\text{s}i\) nanodomains (Fig. 2a,b). Initially, an increasing number of dislocations were built up in the sheared \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) strip. These dislocations nucleated inside the strip at a characteristic resolved shear stress of \(\sim 6\) GPa and appeared on both the \([1100]_\text{dominant}\) and \([0002]_\text{planes}\). During this process, dislocation dipoles were observed. Dislocations within the strip were nearly immobile, so shear of the strip was largely mediated by dislocation nucleation.

As a result, the local lattice of \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) was gradually disrupted, resulting in a nanosized \(a\)-\(\text{s}i\) domain (Fig. 2c–h). The crystal lattice around each \(a\)-\(\text{s}i\) domain was highly distorted (Fig. 2h). Evidence for this is further provided by fast Fourier transform analysis of the strip (Fig. 2b, inset), which demonstrates the pulverization and large local rotation of the \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) lattice resulting from dislocation accumulation and shear flow of the \(a\)-\(\text{s}i\) matrix. Hence, the HRTEM images in Fig. 2c–h directly reveal a dynamic amorphization process mediated by the accumulation of immobile dislocation dipoles. During this process, the local dislocation density kept increasing, from \(\sim 3 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2}\) (Fig. 2c) to \(3 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-2}\) (Fig. 2g).

Expansion and coalescence of the \(a\)-\(\text{s}i\) domains during further shearing of the \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) strip resulted in a fully developed amorphous band embedded with residual nanosized \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) domains (Fig. 3a). Importantly, amorphization occurred dominantly inside the \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) strip. This is indicated by the fact that \(a\)-\(\text{s}i\) only existed within the \(dc\)-\(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) interface, with the \(dc\)-\(\text{s}i\) phase outside the strip remaining undisrupted, implying that the formation of the intermediate \(dc\)-\(\text{s}i\) phase was a necessary step of amorphization. Further evidence is provided by selected area electron diffraction (SAED) of the deformed nanopillar, where the \(dc\) structure remained well-defined (indicated by sharp diffraction spots in the inset of Fig. 3b), while the \(\text{d}h\) structure was pulverized (rings in the inset of Fig. 3b). Interestingly, the diffraction intensity profiles of \(a\)-\(\text{s}i\) formed via shear-driven amorphization are different from those of \(a\)-\(\text{s}i\) vitrified from a Si melt (Fig. 3b), implying their different structures. Our statistical analysis of diffraction profiles further

---

**Figure 1** | *In situ* TEM observation of deformation-induced dislocations and phase transformation in a (111)-oriented Si nanopillar under compression. **a.** Overview of a nanopillar under compression by a punch. Scale bar, 20 nm. The box indicates the region where the HRTEM images in **b**-**f** were taken. **b-d.** Time-lapse TEM images showing formation of a dominant shear band via accumulation of dislocations inside the shear band. **e, f.** Subsequent TEM images showing formation of a strip of \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) in the central region of the shear band. Scale bars in **b**-**f**, 5 nm. The red and blue dotted lines mark the boundaries of the shear band and \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) strip, respectively. Arrows indicate the incipient strip. **g, h.** Comparison between the HRTEM and MD images of a full dislocation on the (111) slip plane (indicated by red dotted line). **i, j.** HRTEM image of the interface between the strip and the matrix. **k, l.** HRTEM image and corresponding fast Fourier transform of the \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) strip. **m.** Simulated HRTEM image and fast Fourier transform of \(\text{d}h\)-\(\text{s}i\) along the [1120] axis.
confirmed this difference (Supplementary Fig. 4). Note that the diffraction intensity profile of a-Si formed via shear-driven amor- phization was extracted from SAED by subtracting the sharp diffrac- tion spots from dc-Si and the rings from dh-Si. The profile exhibited ‘bumps’ that lie close to the positions of the diffraction rings from polycrystalline dh-Si rather than those from dc-Si. Hence, the shear-induced a-Si phase in the present study was probably trans- formed from dh-Si.

It is worth noting that the structure of a-Si was previously described by a paracrystalline model. Distinct from the conventional continuous random network motif, the paracrystalline a-Si model contains local topological orders of ~1–2 nm scale in the random network matrix, supported by localized diffractions. However, it has been difficult to determine the exact structure of local ordering in the paracrystalline model of a-Si. Our in situ atomic-scale observation directly revealed the dynamic structural transformation from dc-Si to a-Si via dh-Si. The remnant nanosized dh-Si domains within the a-Si band (Fig. 3a) could correspond to the ordered regions in the paracrystalline model of a-Si and thus may provide an atomic structure basis for paracrystalline a-Si. In addition, it has previously been reported that the mechanically induced a-Si can be annealed to dh-Si at ~200–400 °C (refs 13,15). This report is consistent with our finding of remnant dh-Si domains in a-Si, which may serve as a nucleus of crystal growth of dh-Si from a-Si, leading to the annealed product of dh-Si at elevated temperatures.

A loading orientation effect on shear-driven amorphization was revealed during compression of a ⟨100⟩-oriented Si nanopillar. Figure 4 and Supplementary Movie 3 show the compression responses of a ⟨100⟩ nanopillar, which were dominated by the nucleation and glide of partial dislocations. This nanopillar had a diameter of ~86 nm (Fig. 4a) and was compressed at a strain rate of ~10⁻³ s⁻¹. The majority of dislocations formed were [111] partials with stacking faults behind (Fig. 4b). These partials glided on
Figure 3 | Atomic structures in a fully developed α-Si shear band. a, HRTEM image of the α-Si shear band containing a number of residual nanosized dh-Si clusters (marked by dotted circles). Scale bar, 5 nm. Inset (a): overview of a deformed (111) nanopillar. b, Diffraction intensity profile of α-Si (blue, extracted from the SAED pattern in the inset), compared with that from vitrified Si (red). The intensity profile corresponding to α-Si formed via shear-driven amorphization (SDA) has been shifted upwards by a constant to facilitate a comparison of two intensity profiles. Blue rings (in the SAED pattern) and dashed lines (in the intensity profile) mark the diffraction positions of polycrystalline dh-Si. Red circles (in the SAED pattern) and dashed lines (in the intensity profile) mark the diffraction positions of dc-Si. Yellow rings (in the SAED pattern) mark the diffraction halo of α-Si.

Figure 4 | Deformation-induced partial dislocations in a ⟨100⟩-oriented Si nanopillar under compression. a, HRTEM image of a pristine nanopillar. b, Nucleation of partial dislocations (indicated by arrow heads) on symmetric {111} slip planes. c, Intersection and crossing of two partials. Inset: local atomic structure near two intersecting partials. Scale bars in a–c, 5 nm. d, Analysis of the Burgers vector of 1/6⟨111⟩⟨112⟩ (indicated by arrow head) for a partial dislocation with a stacking fault in an HRTEM image. Black dashed lines represent the Burgers circuit. e, MD image showing a 1/6⟨111⟩⟨112⟩ partial nucleated from the compressed region at the bottom. The red dotted line indicates a stacking fault on the glide-set ⟨111⟩ slip plane. Gliding of this stacking fault causes a deflection in the intersecting ⟨111⟩ slip plane, highlighted by the blue dotted line.
two equivalent slip systems of $1/6[121][111]$ and $1/6[121][111]$ (Fig. 4c), as shown by Burgers vector analysis in both HRTEM and MD images (Fig. 4d,e). They frequently intersected and temporarily arrested one another. The resulting dislocation locks were destroyed with further compression, and the released partials glided and eventually escaped from the side faces of the nanopillar (Fig. 4c). These partials were sufficiently active to accommodate the applied strain load, such that amorphization was apparently suppressed. The distinct types of active dislocations in (100) and (111) nanopillars were shown by our MD simulations (Supplementary Fig. 5) and can be attributed to an effect of maximum Schmid factors on the driving shear stress of surface dislocation nucleation (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Discussion 2). In addition, we note that the shear factor of the shear stress that drives phase transformation from dc- to dh-Si in a (100) nanopillar under compression is only half of that in a (111) nanopillar. This factor, in conjunction with dominant partial dislocation activities, should be responsible for the lack of phase transition of dc- to dh-Si and ensuing shear-driven amorphization in the (100) nanopillar. It should be noted that we did not observe phase transition from the initial dc to the β-Sn structure (Si-II) in experiments. Si-II often forms during high-pressure compression of Si and, upon unloading, it tends to transform to a-Si and/or a mixture of metastable polymorphs of Si-XII and Si-III (refs 15–17), which were absent in the final phase (Supplementary Fig. 6). In addition, it is interesting to point out the influence of deconfined sample geometry on the observed amorphization. The nanopillars (~90 nm in diameter) in our experiments are in a nano-deconfined state because the feature size is less than ~134 nm (ref. 25) and as such prone to dislocation-governed deformation. Indeed, the incipient plastic deformation in our Si nanopillars was controlled by dislocations. Our study shows that at late stages of deformation, the dislocation plasticity in (111) nanopillars was insufficient to accommodate the imposed strain load. This led to the formation of a dominant shear band where both the transition of dc-Si to dh-Si and the subsequent shear-driven amorphization occurred. In this work, we have not systematically varied the nanoparticle geometry to determine the critical size below which shear-driven amorphization occurs (this is an important issue that warrants further study in the future). In conclusion, the shear-driven amorphization inside a dominant shear band of single Si nanocrystals was directly observed in real time with atomic resolution. One implication of this mechanism is that the solid-state amorphization does not necessarily involve direct transformation from the parent crystalline phase to the amorphous product simply through the accumulation of defects. Instead, an intermediate new crystalline phase could form before amorphization. As a result, the amorphous structure formed can consist of distributed nanosized crystals with an intermediate phase such as dh-Si. Such structural characteristics could influence the electrical and electrochemical performance of the amorphous products. We believe that our approach can be extended to the study of other mechanistically driven deformation mechanisms in a broad class of covalent solids.

Methods

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.
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Methods
We have developed a novel nanomechanical testing approach that involves a top-down method of sample fabrication and facile nanomanipulation techniques. This approach enables well-controlled loading orientation and in situ atomic-resolution characterization of deformation processes. Specifically, Si nanopillars with an axial orientation of ⟨111⟩ or ⟨100⟩ were made by top-down etching from Si wafers (see Supplementary Methods for details). A piece of Si wafer with nanopillars on its top was then pasted on an aluminium rod with silver glue and fixed on one end of a TEM holder. The loading orientation was adjusted by combining holder rotation and manual handling. The punch was made from an electrochemically etched tungsten tip flattened by a focused ion beam. Driven by a piezo-system on the other end of the TEM holder, the punch compressed the Si nanopillar at a controlled strain rate. The normal of the punch surface was sometimes not aligned with the pillar axis, so the pillar would be bent under axial loading and hence the lattice image under HRTEM would be lost. Analysis was made for the pillars without obvious bending. More details are provided in the Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figs 7 and 8. Finally, we note that the intensity of the electron beam was kept low throughout our experiments in order to minimize the beam effect. To evaluate the influence of the electron beam on in situ TEM results, we performed beam-blank experiments and observed similar dislocation processes and shear-driven amorphization (Supplementary Fig. 9 and Supplementary Discussion 3). Compared to the compression tests with exposure to the electron beam, a thinner amorphous band formed during beam-blank compression, but with the shear-driven amorphization pathway via a-f-Si retained.